## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA OAKLAND DIVISION

United	States of America,	) Case No. 25-mj-70488 MAC
rik Ant	Plaintiff, v. Onlo Confreras  Defendant(s).	) STIPULATED ORDER EXCLUDING TIME ) UNDER THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT )
	Defendant(s).	)
Trial Act from continuance of	utweigh the best interest of the public	and finds that the ends of justice served by the and the defendant in a speedy trial. See 18 U.S.C. sases this continuance on the following factor(s):
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Failure to grant a continuance would See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(i).	I be likely to result in a miscarriage of justice.  NORTH DISTRICT
	defendants, the nature of the or law, that it is unreasonable to exp	x, due to [check applicable reasons] the number of called prosecution, or the existence of novel questions of fact ect adequate preparation for pretrial proceedings or the trial and by this section. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(ii).
	Failure to grant a continuance would taking into account the exercise of d	I deny the defendant reasonable time to obtain counsel, ue diligence. <i>See</i> 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).
	Failure to grant a continuance would counsel's other scheduled case come See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).	I unreasonably deny the defendant continuity of counsel, given mitments, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
<u>X</u>	Failure to grant a continuance would necessary for effective preparation, See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).	I unreasonably deny the defendant the reasonable time taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
<u> </u>	With the consent of the defendant, and taking into account the public interest in the prompt disposition of criminal cases, the court sets the preliminary hearing to the date set forth in the first paragraph and — based on the parties' showing of good cause — finds good cause for extending the time limits for a preliminary hearing under Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 5.1 and for extending the 30-day time period for an indictment under the Speedy Trial Act (based on the exclusions set forth above). See Fed. R. Crim. P. 5.1; 18 U.S.C. § 3161(b).	
IT IS	SO ORDERED.	
DATE	D: 5/27/2025	KANDIS A. WESTMORE United States Magistrate Judge
STIPU	LATED: Attorney for Defendant	Assistant United States Attorney